IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION

Michael C. Threatt,

Plaintiffs,

V.

Sylacauga Housing Authority, Commissioners James Adams, Alma Jean Cook, Matt Hubbard, Patrick Lozito, Phillip Morris, and Mayor of Sylacauga Jim Heigl Civil Action No. 20-CV-00096-SGC

Defendants.

PHILLIP MORRIS'S ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1)(A), Defendant Phillip Morris ("Morris"), hereby Answers Plaintiff's Amended Complaint as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

SHA is a public housing agency that provides healthy, safe, and sustainable affordable housing promoting economic self-sufficiency and homeownership programs, high-level aging in place and supportive housing services, workforce housing and workforce development opportunities.

SHA hired Plaintiff Threatt as its Executive Director on November 2, 2017. SHA had great hopes and expectations for Mr. Threatt's administration, but was

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

sorely disappointed. Threatt's administration was chaotic, disorganized,

unproductive, wasteful, dishonest, and fomented discord and hostility in the

community and with and among SHA's employees. Threatt permitted SHA's

properties to fall into disrepair. He created several new, unnecessary positions for

his cronies, and gave himself and his cronies exorbitant salaries and benefits, which

cost SHA over Nine Hundred Thousand Dollars per year. Threatt was combative,

uncooperative, and failed to provide requested and required information requested

by Board Chairman Lozito and SHA's Board of Commissioners.

Mayor Heigl appointed Morris to SHA's Board of Commissioners. SHA and

Morris did not discriminate against Mr. Threatt on the basis of his race, and SHA

did not retaliate against him in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964,

the Civil Rights Act of 1966, 42 U.S.C. § 1981, the False Claims Act, or the Fair

Housing Act. Morris did not discrimination against Threatt on the basis of his race

and/or color, or violate his Constitutional rights. Instead, Threatt's employment was

terminated for legitimate, non-discriminatory, non-retaliatory reasons.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. Morris admits 28 U.S.C. §1331 grants district courts with original

jurisdiction to civil action arising under the laws of the United States. Morris admits

31 U.S.C. § 3730(h)(2) grants district courts with jurisdiction for claims asserted

under 31 U.S.C. § 3730(h). Morris admits 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) grants district courts

Page 2 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

with jurisdiction for claims asserted under 31 U.S.C. § 3730. Except as expressly

admitted, the allegations in paragraph 1 are denied.

2. Morris admits Plaintiff was employed in Sylacauga, Talladega County,

Alabama, which lies in the Eastern Division of the United States District Court for

the Northern District of Alabama. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in

paragraph 2 are denied.

III. PARTIES

3. Morris admits Plaintiff is an African American adult United States

citizen. Morris admits upon information and belief Plaintiff is a resident of the State

of Alabama. Morris admits Plaintiff was an "employee" of SHA. Except as

expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 3 are denied.

4. Morris admits SHA is a Municipal (governmental) entity, a public

housing authority created pursuant to the Alabama Housing Authorities Law, Ala.

Code § 24-1-20, et seq. Morris admits SHA receives federal funding and subsidies

from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD").

Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 4 are denied.

5. Morris admits James Adams is a SHA Board Commissioner. Morris

admits the Board of Commissioners determine policies and resolutions of SHA.

Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 5 are denied.

Page 3 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

6. Morris admits Alma Jane Cook is a SHA Board Commissioner. Morris

admits the Board of Commissioners determine policies and resolutions of SHA.

Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 6 are denied.

7. Morris admits Matt Hubbard is a SHA Board Commissioner. Morris

admits the Board of Commissioners determine policies and resolutions of SHA.

Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 7 are denied.

8. Morris admits Patrick Lozito is a SHA Board Commissioner. Morris

admits the Board of Commissioners determine policies and resolutions of SHA.

Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 8 are denied.

9. Morris admits he is a SHA Board Commissioner. Morris admits the

Board of Commissioners determine policies and resolutions of SHA. Except as

expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 9 are denied.

10. Morris admits Jim Heigl is the Mayor of the City of Sylacauga. Morris

admits the Mayor appoints the Commissioners to SHA's Board. Except as expressly

admitted, the allegations in paragraph 10 are denied.

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE EXHAUSTION

11. Plaintiff does not state claims against Morris under any statute enforced

by the EEOC. To the extent a response is due from Morris for this allegation, Morris

admits Plaintiff filed charges of discrimination and retaliation with the EEOC within

180 days of purported conduct about which Plaintiff complains. Morris denies he

Page 4 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

or SHA engaged in any acts in violation of any statute enforced by the EEOC.

Except as expressly admitted or denied, the allegations in paragraph 11 are denied.

12. Plaintiff does not state claims against Morris under any statute enforced

by the EEOC. To the extent a response is due from Morris for this allegation, Morris

admits the EEOC issued a "no cause" determination and Dismissal and Notice of

Rights to Plaintiff on November 1, 2019, as to Charge No. 420-2020-00184. Morris

denies Plaintiff's Amended Complaint adding Morris as a party was filed within

ninety (90) days of the date on which Plaintiff received his "Notice of Rights."

Except as expressly admitted or denied, the allegations in paragraph 12 are denied.

13. Plaintiff does not state claims against Morris under 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

To the extent a response is due from Morris for this allegation, Morris admits claims

under 42 U.S.C. §1981 require no administrative exhaustion and are subject to a

four-year statute of limitations. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in

paragraph 13 are denied.

14. Plaintiff does not state claims against Morris under the False Claims

Act. To the extent a response is due from Morris for this allegation, Morris admits

claims under retaliation provision the False Claims Act require no administrative

exhaustion and are subject to a three-year statute of limitations. Except as expressly

admitted, the allegations in paragraph 14 are denied.

Page 5 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

15. Plaintiff does not state claims against Morris under the Fair Housing

Act. To the extent a response is due from Morris for this allegation, Morris admits

claims arising under the Fair Housing Act require no administrative exhaustion and

are subject to a two-year statute of limitations. Except as expressly admitted, the

allegations in paragraph 15 are denied.

V. FACTS

16. Admitted.

17. Admitted upon information and belief.

18. Morris admits the Board of Commissioners adopts policies and

resolutions, and provides policy and guidelines to the Chief Executive Officer.

Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 18 are denied.

19. Admitted upon information and belief.

20. Admitted.

21. Upon information and belief, Morris admits there were changes in the

make-up of the Commissioners on SHA's Board during Threatt's tenure. Except as

expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 21 are denied.

22. Morris admits its Bylaws require compliance with Alabama law, which

provides, in pertinent part, that a municipal housing authority "shall consist of five

commissioners appointed by the mayor." Ala. Code § 24-1-24(a). Except as

expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 22 are denied.

Page 6 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

23. Morris admits Jim Heigl was elected Mayor of Sylacauga in November

of 2016, and has served as Mayor since that time. Except as expressly admitted the

allegations in paragraph 23 are denied.

24. Morris admits Plaintiff was required to be the administrative and chief

executive officer of SHA, fully answerable to the Board of Commissioners, and was

required to perform all duties assigned to him under the By-Laws and established

operating policies of SHA, supervise and be responsible for all public housing

management, maintenance, development and construction programs undertaken by

SHA and be responsible for and exercise general supervision for the proper and

efficient performance of duties of all other employees within the established

operating Personnel Policies of SHA, and be responsible for daily operations,

including personnel matters. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in

paragraph 24 are denied.

25. Morris admits upon information and belief, Plaintiff hired Heather

Mueller as SHA's Chief Financial Officer, a position newly created by Threatt, and

Mueller began her employment with SHA on August 20, 2018. Except as expressly

admitted, the allegations in paragraph 25 are denied.

26. Morris admits upon information and belief, Plaintiff hired Daniell

Womack as SHA's Chief Housing Officer, a position newly created by Threatt, and

Page 7 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

Womack began her employment with SHA on September 4, 2018. Except as

expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 26 are denied.

27. Morris admits upon information and belief, Plaintiff hired Nicole

Daniels as SHA's Chief Human Resources Officer, a position newly created by

Threatt, and Daniels began her employment with SHA on September 18, 2018.

Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 27 are denied.

28. Morris admits upon information and belief, Plaintiff, Mueller,

Womack, and Daniels collectively made up Threatt's newly created executive

leadership team. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 28 are

denied.

29. Morris admits that Mueller, Womack, and Daniels reported directly to

Plaintiff when he was working. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in

paragraph 29 are denied.

30. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 30 and therefore denies same. SHA further

denies SHA engaged in any fraudulent billing practices.

31. Morris admits upon information and belief that Mueller sought to

identify potential firms to conduct a forensic review. Except as expressly admitted,

the allegations in paragraph 31 are denied.

Page 8 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

32. Defendant admits at a SHA board meeting on or about September 26,

2019, Mueller discussed the need for a forensic audit. Except as expressly admitted,

the allegations in paragraph 32 are denied.

33. Admitted upon information and belief.

34. Denied.

35. Denied.

36. Morris admits upon information and belief the forensic audit was for a

time period that ended prior to Threatt's creation of the other "Executive Leadership

Team" positions. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 36 are

denied.

37. Admitted.

38. Morris admits the Executive Summary of the Forensic Review states,

in part, "the Board of Commissioners engaged Borland Benefield, P.C. to conduct a

forensic review after the discovery of certain transactions of a dubious nature."

Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 38 are denied.

39. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 39 and therefore denies same.

40. Admitted upon information and belief.

41. Denied.

42. Denied.

Page 9 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

43. Morris admits Threatt was responsible for mismanagement during his

tenure as Executive Director and Chief Executive Director. Except as expressly

admitted, the allegations in paragraph 43 are denied.

44. Denied.

45. Morris admits a Forensic Audit was performed by a third-party vendor.

Morris admits a Fiscal Audit was performed by a third-party vendor. Morris admits

several of SHA's policies and procedures were revised. Morris admits a Board

assessment was performed and Board Performance Enhancement Plan created.

Morris admits SHA utilizes e-mail addresses @sylacaugaha.com. Morris admits

SHA hosted a Fair Housing Symposium and provided attendees with a copy of "The

Color of Law Book." Morris admits Committee meetings were held. Morris admits

SHA's website includes a Staff Portal and Board Portal. Morris admits SHA submits

reports to HUD. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 45 are

denied.

46. There is no affirmative allegation in paragraph 46. To the extent a

response to this incomplete sentence is required, Morris denies the allegations in

paragraph 46.

47. Morris admits a resolution to authorize the approval of the Sylacauga

Housing Authority Board Performance Enhancement Plan was presented. Except as

expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 46 are denied.

Page 10 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

48. Morris admits Mueller represented to the Board she cut spending she

identified as unnecessary. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph

48 are denied.

49. Morris admits Mueller presented Fiscal Operations Reports at Board

Meetings. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 49 are denied.

50. Morris admits Womack presented Housing Operations Reports at

Board Meetings. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 50 are

denied.

51. Morris admits Daniels presented Human Resources Operations Reports

at Board Meetings. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 51

are denied.

52. Morris admits Daniels presented Human Resources Operations Reports

at Board Meetings. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 52

are denied.

53. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 53 and therefore denies same.

54. Denied.

55. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 55 and therefore denies same. Morris further

Page 11 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

denies he or SHA engaged in any discriminatory practices, fraudulent billing

practices, or non-compliant procurement practices.

56. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 56 and therefore denies same. Morris further

denies he or SHA engaged in any discriminatory housing practices or other conduct

in violation of the Fair Housing Act.

57. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 57 and therefore denies same. Morris further

denies he or SHA engaged in any discrimination.

58. Admitted.

59. Denied.

60. Morris admits Threatt hired a security company. Except as expressly

admitted, the allegations in paragraph 60 are denied.

61. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 61 and therefore denies same.

62. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 62 and therefore denies same.

63. Except as expressly admitted or denied below as to each individual, the

allegations in paragraph 63 are denied:

a. Morris admits Threatt filed a charge with the EEOC,

Page 12 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

b. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or veracity of whether Mueller filed a charge with the EEOC and therefore denies same;

- c. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or veracity of whether Womack filed a charge with the EEOC and therefore denies same;
- d. Morris admits Daniels filed a charge with the EEOC;
- e. Morris admits Jennifer Harris filed a charge with the EEOC;
- f. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or veracity of whether Blake filed a charge with the EEOC and therefore denies same;
- g. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or veracity of whether Jeter filed a charge with the EEOC and therefore denies same.
- 64. Morris admits Threatt filed three (3) charges with the EEOC. Except as expressly admitted or denied, the allegations in paragraph 64 are denied.
- 65. Morris denies he received Threatt's EEOC charges prior to October 24, 2019. Morris admits he was made aware the "Executive Leadership Team" alleged it reported the findings of the forensic audit and fiscal audit to HUD, and alleged discrimination and segregation issues to the Department of Justice prior to October

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

24, 2019. Except as expressly admitted or denied, the allegations in paragraph 65

are denied.

66. Morris admits Threatt took a leave of absence beginning on or about

August 1, 2019. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 66 are

denied.

67. Morris admits Threatt took a leave of absence beginning on or about

August 2, 2019. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 67 are

denied.

68. Admitted.

69. Morris admits a letter addressed to SHA's Board from Womack and

dated August 8, 2019, indicates on its face it was e-mailed to the Board. Except as

expressly admitted the allegations in paragraph 69 are denied.

70. Morris admits Womack's August 8, 2019 letter indicates on its face it

was e-mailed to Lozito, Cook, Adams, Hubbard, and Morris. Except as expressly

admitted, the allegations in paragraph 70 are denied.

71. Morris admits the portion of the August 8, 2019 letter is quoted

correctly. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 71 are denied.

72. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 72 regarding purported reports to the federal

Page 14 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

government and therefore denies same. Morris further denies SHA engaged in

fraudulent billing practices. The remaining allegations in paragraph 72 are denied.

73. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 73 regarding purported reports to the federal

government, and therefore denies same. Morris further denies SHA engaged in

housing violations. The remaining allegations in paragraph 73 are denied.

74. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 74 and therefore denies same.

75. Morris admits Lozito met with Mueller, Daniels, and Womack on or

about August 12, 2019. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph

72 are denied.

76. Morris denies the quotes are exact, but admits upon information and

belief Mueller made statements similar to those quoted in paragraph 76. Except as

expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 76 are denied.

77. Denied.

78. Morris admits Mueller presented a Fiscal Operations Report, Threatt

presented an Executive Operations Report, and Womack presented a Housing

Operations Report at the August 16, 2019 Board meeting. Except as expressly

admitted, the allegations in paragraph 78 are denied.

Page 15 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

79. Morris admits at the August 16, 2019 Board meeting, Mueller

discussed, in part, cutting what she believed to be wasteful spending, streamlined

costs, implemented checks and balances, and a Corrective Action Plan with HUD

related to the fiscal audit. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph

79 are denied.

80. Admitted.

81. Denied.

82. Admitted.

83. Morris admits Threatt presented an Executive Operations Report at the

August 16, 2019 Board meeting. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in

paragraph 83 are denied.

84. Admitted.

85. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 85 and therefore denies same. Morris further

denies he or SHA engaged in fraudulent billing practices and discriminatory actions.

86. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 86 and therefore denies same.

87. The statement in paragraph 87 is apparently not complete, as it is

unclear and does not make sense. As such Morris lacks information sufficient to

Page 16 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

form a belief as to the truth or veracity of the allegation in paragraph 87 and therefore

denies same.

88. Morris admits Sam Royster was appointed as acting Executive

Director / Chief Executive Officer and Secretary / Treasurer of the SHA on

September 5, 2019.

89. Morris admits upon information and belief one reason Royster placed

Mueller, Womack, and Daniels on paid administrative leave was to review Housing

Authority operations. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 89

are denied.

90. Denied.

91. Morris admits Plaintiff, Mueller, Womack, and Daniels were removed

from SHA's website and all social media accounts on or about September 10, 2019.

Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 91 are denied.

92. Admitted.

93. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 93 and therefore denies same.

94. Morris admits Royster mentioned Plaintiff, Mueller, Womack, and

Daniels were on administrative leave at the September 16, 2019 Board Meeting.

Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 94 are denied.

Page 17 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

95. Morris admits Lozito and Royster met with SHA employees on or about

September 27, 2019. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 95

are denied.

96. Morris admits Lozito stated "They ain't never coming back." Except

as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 96 are denied.

97. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 97 and therefore denies same.

98. Morris admits Threatt's employment was terminated on or about

October 24, 2019.

99. Denied.

100. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations concerning Mayor Heigl in paragraph 100 and therefore

denies same.

101. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations concerning Mayor Heigl in paragraph 101 and therefore

denies same. To the extent the allegations concern Morris, denied. Morris further

denies he or SHA engaged in any misconduct, discriminatory housing practices,

and/or or mismanagement/misappropriation of federal funds. The remaining

allegations in paragraph 101 are denied.

Page 18 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

102. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations concerning Mayor Heigl in paragraph 102 and therefore

denies same. To the extent the allegations concern Morris, denied. Morris further

denies there was a hostile work environment, culture of discrimination, or wrongful

conduct by Morris or SHA.

103. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations concerning Mayor Heigl in paragraph 103 and therefore

denies same. To the extent the allegations concern Morris, denied.

104. Morris admits upon information and belief Plaintiff's title was changed

from Executive Director to Chief Executive Officer. Morris admits Threatt's salary

increased, but denies Threatt was entitled to any pay increase. Except as expressly

admitted, the allegations in paragraph 104 are denied.

105. Morris lacks information sufficient for form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 105 and therefore denies same.

VI. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

A. ALLEGED DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RACE IN VIOLATION OF TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964 AND 42 U.S.C. § 1981

106. Denied.

107. Denied.

108. Denied.

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

- 109. Denied.
- 110. Morris admits Plaintiff filed charges of discrimination with the EEOC and received a notice of right to sue for Charge No. 420-2020-00184. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 110 are denied.
 - 111. Denied.
 - 112. Denied.
 - 113. Denied.
 - 114. Denied.

B. ALLEGED RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964 AND 42 U.S.C. § 1981

- 115. Morris admits filing charges with the EEOC is considered protected activity under Title VII and further admits Plaintiff filed EEOC charges. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 115 are denied.
 - 116. Denied.
 - 117. Denied.
- 118. Morris denies SHA was aware of Threatt's EEOC charges before the date on which his employment was terminated. The remaining allegations in paragraph 118 are denied.
 - 119. Denied.
 - 120. Denied.

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

- 121. Denied.
- 122. Denied.
- 123. Denied.
- 124. Denied.
- 125. Denied.
- 126. Denied.
- 127. Denied.

C. ALLEGED RETALIATION IN VIOLATION OF THE FAIR HOUSING ACT

- 128. Morris admits 42 U.S.C. § 3617 provides: "It shall be unlawful to coerce, intimidate, threaten, or interfere with any person in the exercise or enjoyment of, or on account of his having exercised or enjoyed, or on account of his having aided or encouraged any other person in the exercise or enjoyment of, any right granted or protected by section 3603, 3604, 3605, or 3606 of this title." Morris admits Ala. Code § 24-8-8 provides: "It shall be unlawful to coerce, intimidate, threaten, or interfere with any person in the enjoyment of, exercise of, or the aid or encouragement of any other person in the exercise of any right granted under this chapter." Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 128 are denied.
- 129. Denied. Morris further denies SHA engaged in unlawful discriminatory housing practices.

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

130. Denied. Morris further denies SHA engaged in unlawful

discriminatory housing practices.

131. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 131 and therefore denies same. Morris

further denies SHA engaged in unlawful discriminatory housing practices.

132. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 132 and therefore denies same.

133. Morris admits Womack's August 8, 2019 letter stated, in pertinent part,

"the SHA Executive Leadership Team ... have reported discrimination and

segregation issues to the Department of Justice and Fair Housing." Except as

expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 133 are denied. Morris further

denies SHA engaged in unlawful discriminatory housing practices.

134. Morris admits Threatt provided an Executive Operations Report at the

August 16, 2019 Board of Commissioners Meeting, the Minutes for which describe

the topics of that Report. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph

134 are denied. Morris further denies SHA engaged in unlawful discriminatory

housing practices.

135. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 135 and therefore deny same. Morris further

denies SHA engaged in unlawful discriminatory housing practices.

Page 22 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

136. Denied.

137. Morris admits Plaintiff was put on leave within one month of August 8,

2019. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 137 are denied.

138. Morris admits Plaintiff was discharged within three months of August

8, 2019. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 138 are denied.

139. Denied.

140. Denied.

D. ALLEGED FALSE CLAIMS ACT RETALIATION

141. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 141 and therefore denies same. Morris

further denies SHA engaged in fraudulent billing practices.

142. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 142 and therefore denies same. Morris

further denies SHA engaged in fraudulent billing practices.

143. Morris lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or

veracity of the allegations in paragraph 143 and therefore denies same. Morris

further denies SHA engaged in fraudulent billing practices.

144. Morris admits the SHA Board was asked to approve a third-party

vendor to conduct a fiscal audit. Except as expressly admitted the allegations in

Page 23 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

paragraph 144 are denied. Morris further denies SHA engaged in fraudulent billing

practices.

145. Morris admits fiscal audit results were presented to the SHA Board in

or about February of 2019. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in

paragraph 145 are denied.

146. Denied. Morris further denies SHA engaged in fraudulent billing

practices.

147. Denied. Morris further denies SHA engaged in fraudulent billing

practices.

148. Morris admits Womack's August 8, 2019 letter stated, in pertinent part,

"the SHA Executive Leadership Team, have reported the findings of the forensic

audit and fiscal audit to HUD." Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in

paragraph 148 are denied. Morris further denies SHA engaged in fraudulent billing

practices.

149. Morris admits Plaintiff delivered an Executive Operations Report at the

August 16, 2019 Board meeting. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in

paragraph 149 are denied. Morris further denies SHA engaged in fraudulent billing

practices.

150. Denied.

151. Denied.

Page 24 of 37

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

- 152. Morris admits Plaintiff was put on leave within one month of August 8,
- 2019. Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 152 are denied.
- 153. Morris admits Plaintiff was put on leave on or about September 5, 2019.

Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 153 are denied.

154. Morris admits Plaintiff was discharged on or about October 24, 2019.

Except as expressly admitted, the allegations in paragraph 154 are denied.

- 155. Denied. Morris further denies SHA engaged in fraudulent billing practices.
 - 156. Denied.

E. ALLEGED RACE DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE EQUAL PROTECTION CLAUSE OF THE 14TH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES

- 157. Denied.
- 158. Denied.
- 159. Denied.
- 160. Denied.
- 161. Denied.

VII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 1. Defendant denies Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested.
- 2. Defendant denies Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested.
- 3. Defendant denies Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested.

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

4. Defendant denies Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief requested.

ADDITIONAL DEFENSES

In further defense, Morris states:

FIRST DEFENSE

The Court lacks jurisdiction over the state law claim for Fair Housing Retaliation.

SECOND DEFENSE

The Court lacks jurisdiction over this Defendant for the state law claim for Fair Housing Retaliation.

THIRD DEFENSE

Defendant is immune from liability based on Eleventh Amendment / sovereign immunity, governmental immunity, statutory immunity, and/or other immunity from suit.

FOURTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's contract, to the extent a valid contract existed, was terminated for just cause.

FIFTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by waiver.

SIXTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by his participation in the conduct about which he complains.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by his own fraud.

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

EIGHTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by illegality.

NINTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by his misconduct in modifying and falsifying official municipal documents.

TENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by his violations of the Alabama Code of Ethics.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims for damages pursuant to any purported five-year contract are barred as void to the extent the contract is in violation of Alabama's competitive bid law.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claim for damages under any purported five-year contract are barred to the extent the contract upon which Plaintiff's damages claim is based violated Alabama's competitive bid law.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

The purported five-year contract is void for nudum pactum.

FOURTEENTH DEFENSE

Defendant was not Plaintiff's employer and was not a party to or in privity of any contract with Plaintiff.

FIFTEENTH DEFENSE

Defendant was only one of five Commissioners, all of whom voted to terminate Plaintiff's employment.

SIXTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims for damages pursuant to any purported five-year contract are barred by nudum pactum.

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

SEVENTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims for damages pursuant to any purported five-year contract are barred as void for lack of proper authorization.

EIGHTEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims for damages under any purported five-year contract are barred because the indefinite and automatic renewal provisions of the contract rendered it to be of indefinite duration and therefore, it was terminable at will.

NINETEENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because he conspired with others to create the conditions about which he complains.

TWENTIETH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because he contributed to the conditions about which he complains.

TWENTY-FIRST DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because he was responsible for the conditions about which he complains.

TWENTY-SECOND DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claim for damages under his purported contract are barred because the purported five-year contract upon which Plaintiff's damages claim is based was not authorized and is void.

TWENTY-THIRD DEFENSE

The purported five-year contract under which Plaintiff claims damages, is barred by the statute of frauds.

TWENTY-FOURTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims for damages under any purported five-year contract are barred because the contract is due to be rescinded.

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

TWENTY-FIFTH DEFENSE

The purported five-year contract under which Plaintiff claims damages, is due to be rescinded due to fraudulent inducement.

TWENTY-SIXTH DEFENSE

The purported five-year contract under which Plaintiff claims damages, is due to be rescinded for inadequate consideration.

TWENTY-SEVENTH DEFENSE

The purported five-year contract under which Plaintiff claims damages, is due to be rescinded as unconscionable.

TWENTY-EIGHTH DEFENSE

The purported five-year contract under which Plaintiff claims damages, is due to be rescinded due to mutual mistake.

TWENTY-NINTH DEFENSE

The purported five-year contract under which Plaintiff claims damages, is due to be rescinded due to coercion.

THIRTIETH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's alleged injuries were proximately caused by the intervening acts of others.

THIRTY-FIRST DEFENSE

Defendant reserves the right to amend this answer to add any defense which might be subsequently revealed through discovery or otherwise.

THIRTY-SECOND DEFENSE

Defendant denies each and every material averment not previously admitted hereinabove and demands strict proof thereof.

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

THIRTY-THIRD DEFENSE

Defendant denies the nature and extent of Plaintiff's alleged injuries and demands strict proof thereof.

THIRTY-FOURTH DEFENSE

Defendant's vote to place Plaintiff on administrative leave was based on legitimate non-discriminatory reasons.

THIRTY-FIFTH DEFENSE

Defendant's vote to place Plaintiff on administrative leave was based on legitimate non-retaliatory reasons.

THIRTY-SIXTH DEFENSE

Defendant's vote to terminate Plaintiff's employment was based on legitimate non-discriminatory reasons.

THIRTY-SEVENTH DEFENSE

Defendant's vote to terminate Plaintiff's employment was based on legitimate non-retaliatory reasons.

THIRTY-EIGHTH DEFENSE

Defendant did not discriminate or retaliate against Plaintiff on the basis of his race or color.

THIRTY-NINTH DEFENSE

Defendant did not discriminate or retaliate against Plaintiff on the basis of any protected activity.

FORTIETH DEFENSE

Plaintiff cannot establish any retaliatory motive was the "but for" cause of the actions taken against Plaintiff.

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

FORTY-FIRST DEFENSE

Plaintiff cannot establish any protected conduct was the "but for" cause of the actions taken against Plaintiff.

FORTY-SECOND DEFENSE

Plaintiff's management of SHA caused SHA to incur unnecessary financial expenses and administrative burdens.

FORTY-THIRD DEFENSE

Defendant denies it is indebted to Plaintiff for any compensation, wages, and/or benefits.

FORTY-FOURTH DEFENSE

The termination of Plaintiff's contract, to the extent a valid contract existed, was for just cause.

FORTY-FIFTH DEFENSE

The termination of Plaintiff's contract, to the extent a valid contract existed, was for Plaintiff's misconduct.

FORTY-SIXTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by Plaintiff's breach of his fiduciary duties.

FORTY-SEVENTH DEFENSE

Defendant would have made the same decision to vote to place Plaintiff on administrative leave without regard to any alleged discriminatory motive.

FORTY-EIGHTH DEFENSE

Defendant would have made the same decision to vote to terminate Plaintiff's employment without regard to any alleged discriminatory motive.

FORTY-NINTH DEFENSE

Defendant would have made the same decision to vote to place Plaintiff on administrative leave without regard to any alleged protected activity.

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

FIFTIETH DEFENSE

Defendant would have made the same decision to vote to terminate Plaintiff's employment without regard to any alleged protected activity.

FIFTY-FIRST DEFENSE

Defendant would have made the same decision to vote to place Plaintiff on administrative leave without regard to any alleged retaliatory motive.

FIFTY-SECOND DEFENSE

Defendant would have made the same decision to vote to terminate Plaintiff's employment without regard to any alleged retaliatory motive.

FIFTY-THIRD DEFENSE

Plaintiff's continued employment would have burdened SHA with unnecessary and unjustifiable financial obligations.

FIFTY-FOURTH DEFENSE

Defendant's vote to place Plaintiff on administrative leave was further justified by after-acquired evidence.

FIFTY-FIFTH DEFENSE

Defendant's vote to terminate Plaintiff's employment was further justified by after-acquired evidence.

FIFTY-SIXTH DEFENSE

Defendant denies the nature and extent of Plaintiff's alleged injuries and demands strict proof thereof.

FIFTY-SEVENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred to the extent he failed to mitigate his damages.

FIFTY-EIGHTH DEFENSE

Defendant pleads the doctrine of collateral estoppel.

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

FIFTY-NINTH DEFENSE

Defendant pleads the doctrine of res judicata.

SIXTIETH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by Plaintiff's breach of his fiduciary duties and duties of loyalty.

SIXTY-FIRST DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred by Plaintiff's breach of the Alabama Code of Ethics.

SIXTY-SECOND DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claim are barred by his conduct in withholding, modifying, and/or falsifying official municipal documents.

SIXTY-THIRD DEFENSE

Plaintiff's alleged damages are due to be offset by amounts paid to him for salary and benefits as a result of Plaintiff's fraud, misrepresentation, mistake, coercion, unconscionability, illegality, and for a purported contract that was void due to nudum pactum.

SIXTY-FOURTH DEFENSE

Defendant is immune from suit for any statements made in a Board Meeting, pursuant to Ala. Code § 36-25A-8.

SIXTY-FIFTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims for intentional conduct are barred by Ala. Code § 11-47-190.

SIXTY-SIXTH DEFENSE

Defendant is immune from liability for the intentional conduct of others.

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

SIXTY-SEVENTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims and damages against Defendant are limited by Ala. Code § 11-47-190.

SIXTY-EIGHTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims are barred because he failed to exhaust all administrative remedies.

SIXTY-NINTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claims for equitable relief are barred by his unclean hands.

SEVENTIETH DEFENSE

The Housing Authority had in place well-established anti-discrimination and anti-retaliation policies with complaint procedures and exercised reasonable care to prevent and correct promptly any allegedly discriminatory and/or retaliatory behavior, and Plaintiff unreasonably failed to take advantage of the preventive or corrective opportunities provided or to avoid harm otherwise.

SEVENTY-FIRST DEFENSE

Defendant denies it engaged in any conduct that violated any federal statutes, however, to the extent any conduct that might be considered a violation occurred, Plaintiff participated in, invited, and/or perpetuated such conduct.

SEVENTY-SECOND DEFENSE

Defendant did not discriminate against Plaintiff on the basis of his color, race, or any other protected characteristic.

SEVENTY-THIRD DEFENSE

Defendant is not guilty of any conduct that would warrant the imposition of punitive damages against him.

SEVENTY-FOURTH DEFENSE

Defendant is immune from punitive damages, as an imposition of such penalties in the form of punitive damages would most likely fall upon the innocent

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

citizen-taxpayers of the municipality. See City of Newport v. Fact Concerts, Inc., 453 U.S. 247, 267 (1981).

SEVENTY-FIFTH DEFENSE

Defendant is immune from suit under the laws of the State of Alabama and the United States of America, including, but not limited to, Eleventh Amendment/sovereign immunity, governmental immunity, legislative immunity, executive immunity, statutory immunity, qualified immunity, state agent immunity, or other immunity from suit.

SEVENTY-SIXTH DEFENSE

Defendant did not violate Plaintiff's Constitutional rights.

SEVENTY-SEVENTH DEFENSE

The claim for punitive damages as sought by the complaint violates the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States in that the procedures pursuant to which punitive damages are awarded failed to provide specific standards for the amount of an award of punitive damages thereby violating this defendant's rights of substantive due process.

SEVENTY-EIGHTH DEFENSE

Plaintiff's claim for punitive damages is limited in amount by the application of Alabama Code § 6-11-21 (1975), as in force and effect on the date this action was commenced.

SEVENTY-NINTH DEFENSE

The claim for punitive damages as sought by the complaint violates the Constitution of the State of Alabama in that the procedures pursuant to which punitive damages are awarded fail to provide specific standards for the amount of an award of punitive damages thereby violating this defendant's rights of substantive due process.

EIGHTIETH DEFENSE

The imposition of punitive damages would violate the Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth and/or Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution because (a) the standard of liability for punitive damages is inadequate, unduly vague and

Case 1:20-cv-00096-ACA Document 50 Filed 07/27/20 Page 36 of 37

Threatt, Michael C. v. Sylacauga Housing Authority

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

subjective, permitting random, arbitrary, capricious, excessive, and/or disproportionate punishment that serves no legitimate governmental interests; (b) there is an absence of procedural safeguards accorded to defendants in civil proceedings, including lack of a reasonable doubt standard of proof; and (c) there are inadequate standards and procedures for reviewing awards of punitive damages.

EIGHTY-FIRST DEFENSE

Any statements allegedly made by Defendant are protected by the right to free speech guaranteed by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution.

EIGHTY-SECOND DEFENSE

Defendant reserves the right to amend this answer to add any defense which might be subsequently revealed through discovery or otherwise.

Respectfully submitted this 27th day of July, 2020.

s/ Brandi B. Frederick

Richard W. Lewis (ASB-1812-L75R) Brandi B. Frederick (ASB-4725-B59B) Attorneys for Phillip Morris

OF COUNSEL:

Austill Lewis Pipkin & Maddox, P.C. 600 Century Park South, Suite 100 Birmingham, AL 35226 (205) 870-3767 phone (205) 870-3768 fax r-lewis@maplaw.com bfrederick@maplaw.com

Civil Action No.: 20-CV-00096-SGC

Phillip Morris's Answer to Amended Complaint

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on the 27th day of July, 2020, I have served a copy of the above and foregoing on counsel for all parties by:

<u>X</u> Using the Electronic Filing system which will send notice to the following:

Richard A. Rice
The Rice Firm, LLC
420 20th Street
Suite 2200
Birmingham, AL 35203
rrice@rice-lawfirm.com

Roderick T. Cooks
Lee Winston
Winston Cooks, LLC
505 20th Street North, Suite 815
Birmingham, AL 35203
rcooks@winstoncooks.com
lwinston@winstoncooks.com

C. David Stubbs Stubbs, Sills & Frye P.C. 1724 South Quintard Avenue Anniston, AL 36202 david-ssf@cableone.net

Barry V. Frederick The Frederick Firm 5409 Trace Ridge Lane Birmingham, Alabama 35244 barry@frederickfirm.net

s/ **Brandi B. Frederick**

OF COUNSEL